



ISRAEL'S ABSENCE FROM THE SECURITY COUNCIL DEBATE

Excerpts from an address by Ambassador Chaim Herzog at a meeting of the President's Conference coinciding with the opening of the Security Council Debate on the Middle East, New York, January 12, 1976.

The past year has seen sinister developments in the United Nations which must give rise to grave concern amongst all decent people in the world. The Thirtieth General Assembly has converted that organ into the main center of anti-Semitism in the world. Moreover, it has converted that body into a forum designed by the use of the automatic majority to block any move made towards peace in the Middle East and to set the stage, from a political point of view, for the renewal of hostilities in the Middle East. In other words, the United Nations is gradually being turned by a small group of despots led by the Syrians and the PLO into the war-mongering center of the world.

To this tragic pass has the United Nations been dragged down by a group of extremists who have sworn publicly to arrest the process of peace-making in the Middle East, to stem the tide for peace in the Middle East, and to annul the existing agreements which have been made such as that between Israel and Egypt.

The manner in which the General Assembly has dealt with the Middle East problem is a tragedy of major international proportions. Its implications are terrifying and sinister. It should have been acting as a forum, the purpose of which is to encourage negotiations, to strive for consensus and compromise, for that is the only manner in which the problem of the Middle East will be resolved. Instead, the Assembly, by allowing itself to be dominated by a group of intransigent extremists, whose declared purpose is to fight against any move towards peace, is encouraging dissent instead of accord, intransigence instead of compromise, fanaticism instead of accommodation and conflict instead of peace.

U. N. Heartlessness

The terrifying impotence of the General Assembly and the cynical heartlessness of the United Nations can be highlighted by no better ex-

ample than the case of the Lebanon. Well over thirty percent of the time of the past session of the General Assembly was devoted to vilifying and attacking Israel. All this occurred while a nation in the Middle East, the Lebanon, was bleeding to death, while a member country of the United Nations was and is being strangled by internecine warfare. As hundreds of Christian hostages were and are being shot dead every night in the Lebanon for one reason and one reason only, namely the fact that the designation "Christian" was marked in their Identity Cards, the United Nations was allowing itself to become an instrument of political warfare against Israel. An entire Christian community of one million people was in danger. A terrifying human tragedy was unraveling itself before our eyes.

Yet it will be recorded in history, that while this was occurring, this World Assembly had no time for this tragedy, had not one word to say on this subject, because it was too busy castigating and vilifying a free and socially advanced country in the Middle East. What greater illustration can there be of the cynical wickedness of international life as reflected in the General Assembly than to see this spectacle of a nation bleeding, while the World Body turns its face and acts as if nothing happened. History will remember this. History will recall too that an entire Christian community faced mortal peril while the world looked on in silence and the only voice raised in the U. N. was the voice of Israel. History will recall that the General Assembly admitted to observer status last year the organization which tried, in the so-called "Black September" in 1970, to destroy the Kingdom of Jordan and listened to the representative of that organization while it was actively involved in pursuance of its policy of international terrorism, in the disruption and dismemberment of the republic of Lebanon. And now we face, in the Security Council, a move

which would, in the final analysis, prescribe for Israel the same bloody and somber fate which the P.L.O. endeavored to bring about in Jordan and which it is actively engaged in implementing in the Lebanon. Is it not unbelievable and utterly incredible that the Security Council has not met once on the issue of the Lebanon? Does the situation there not endanger peace?

Myth of Aggression

For years we have been under attack because of the so-called Israeli aggression in 1967. Let me once again reject as vehemently as I can the big lie which in the time-honored tradition is being put out by the Arab delegation and re-echoed by our enemies in regard to the opening of hostilities in 1967.

It is time that we exploded the myth about Israeli aggression in 1967 once and for all. We were threatened with annihilation. The threats are all on record. The move-up of the Arab armies was in full view of the world. The United Nations forces were expelled ignominiously. We were left on our own to protect our lives and the lives of our wives and children. We did not seek aggression, we do not seek aggression.

We did not make war for conquest. We did not plan to go to war. We did not seek territory or expansion. All the Arab statements are on record. The war which the Arabs brought on us and on themselves led to the results of the war of 1967. To talk of Israeli aggression is untrue and venal. To talk of Israeli aggression is to repeat a bare-faced lie which does not bear examination in the face of facts. Any self respecting country from whatever group or bloc of countries which indulges in this cynical re-writing of history is but condemning itself in its own words.

Less than two weeks after the conclusion of hostilities in June 1967, the
(Continued on next Page)

AMBASSADOR HERZOG'S ADDRESS

(Continued)

Israeli Government offered to return the whole of Sinai to Egypt and the whole of the Golan Heights to Syria in return for the demilitarization of these areas and a peace treaty. But the Arabs were advised otherwise and again did not agree to negotiation or compromise.

Indeed all the Arab leaders are on record at the time in writing, speech and film describing their plans to annihilate Israel and urging their troops to accomplish this task.

Movement Toward Peace

On 22 November 1967, the Security Council passed Resolution 242, which amongst other points affirmed that the establishment of a just and lasting peace in the Middle East should include

"Termination of all claims or states of belligerency and respect for and acknowledgement of the sovereignty, territorial integrity and political independence of every state in the area and their right to live in peace within the secure and recognized boundaries free from threats or acts of force."

It further affirmed the necessity

"For guaranteeing the freedom of navigation through international waterways in the area . . . and also the necessity for guaranteeing the territorial inviolability and political independence of every state in the area . . ."

This Resolution was accepted by the parties to the conflict, including Israel, which saw in it a broad framework on the basis of which an advance towards peace could be made.

On 22 October 1973, Security Council Resolution 338 was adopted unanimously and in it the Security Council decided that

"Negotiations shall start between the parties concerned under appropriate auspices aimed at establishing a just and durable peace in the Middle East."

Resolution 338 based itself on Security Council Resolution 242 aforementioned. The Geneva Peace Conference was thus convened under the auspices of the United States of America and the Soviet Union.

As a result the process of negotiation in the Middle East designed to bring about a peaceful solution was initiated. In fact the various elements of the Sinai agreement between Egypt and Israel achieved within the framework of the Geneva Peace Conference are being implemented by both sides this very day.

Danger of Reversal

Within the framework of the Geneva Peace Conference an Agreement was reached in May 1974 for a disengagement between Israeli and Syrian forces.

The Security Council had created a mechanism which is already beginning to show results in moving our strife-torn area towards peace and accommodation. But now, extreme elements in the Middle East led by the PLO have come forward with the avowed purpose of sabotaging the existing mechanism which has been created and with the further avowed purpose of destroying the Agreements which have already been reached in the Middle East. The issue before the United Nations now is whether or not it is going to allow the elements of violence, hate and intransigence to set the tone in the Middle East, or whether it will maintain the momentum which has been achieved on the basis of Resolutions reached in the Security Council towards negotiations, accommodation and peace in the Middle East.

The PLO Record

It seems appropriate that on this occasion, when it is becoming fashionable to proclaim in a facile and superficial manner that the solution to all the problems in the Middle East lies in the invitation of the PLO to Geneva to examine the record of this organization on which so many spokesmen of countries unfriendly to Israel and also friendly to Israel place their hopes for a future peace in the Middle East.

The PLO's policy is a matter of record. It is one based on the most brutal terrorism, in the course of which attacks have been made upon innocent people, including unsuspecting women and children. These gangs have cut-down pregnant women in cold blood in Kiryat Shmona, have shot Olympic athletes bound hand and foot, have hi-jacked planes, have engaged in open assassination, have attacked innocent tourists in Tel-Aviv, have held small school children hostage in Ma'alot causing the death of over 20 children with over 60 wounded. They were responsible only recently for the attack on Ramat Magshimim in which three theological students were killed in the most brutal manner. Their assailants came with axes across the border so that in their own words, during a press conference in Damascus, they would be able to return with the heads of their victims. These are the same terrorists who killed innocent passers-by, both Jew and Arab alike, in a bomb outrage only recently in Zion Square in Jerusalem. These are

the same individuals who have imposed a reign of terror on the Palestinian people in the West Bank and in Gaza killing cold-bloodedly those suspected of not agreeing with them. These are the same individuals who planned the assassination of the heads of five Arab States at Rabat last year. Fourteen members of the PLO were arrested then. These are members of the same organization which have repeatedly attempted to assassinate King Hussein of Jordan. This is the same organization which executed in the most cowardly manner Wasfi Tal, the Prime Minister of Jordan, during a visit to Cairo. One of the assassins, not content with shooting the Prime Minister in the back, felt obliged to drink his blood publicly, on the steps of the Sheraton Hotel in Cairo. These are the people who have brought misery, murder and assassination to the area of the Middle East and who have introduced terrorism as a form of international idiom. Terrorism which affects innocent people wherever they may be.

Evaluation in the Arab World

Let me quote King Hussein of Jordan in an interview in the magazine "Der Stern" in Germany when speaking of the claim of the PLO to act as spokesman for the Palestinians.

"Ridiculous, how can half a dozen disunited organizations partly dominated by criminals, disunited by radical ideologies, make this claim?"

These are the same terrorists who only a few weeks ago kidnapped and held as hostages the Ministers of the oil producing States at the OPEC meeting in Vienna and were then released by the Government of Algeria in an act which was a blatant condoning of the criminal terrorist acts of that group. From there they proceeded to Libya where they were greeted and embraced by the Prime Minister of Libya, Jaloud, one of whose citizens was shot in cold blood by the same terrorists in Vienna.

Some elements of the PLO decried this latter act of terrorism, which only proves that the PLO is nothing more than a loose coalition of feuding terrorist gangs who have achieved their standing by the muzzle of the gun and who maintain their position by the assassin's bullet, with no discipline and with one faction uncommitted by the decision of the next faction.

This organization is the only so-called liberation organization in the world whose leadership does not live amongst the people it is purporting to liberate. Instead, its leadership hides in the comparative security of the bases in the Lebanon and sends others to carry out its nefarious activities. The

(Continued on next Page)

AMBASSADOR HERZOG'S ADDRESS*(Continued)*

policy of the PLO was set out unequivocally in the address of its leader, Yassir Arafat, when he recently addressed a conference in Bagdad: "We shall not allow any Palestinian or Arab to recognize Israel or conciliate with it."

Or let me quote Mr. Khadoumi who will lead the PLO in the Security Council. "The principles of Palestinian diplomacy are non-recognition, no peace . . . no right of passage through the Suez Canal . . . a political settlement is doomed to failure." Or in Newsweek Magazine on January 5, 1976 "this Zionist ghetto of Israel must be destroyed."

They propose the so-called democratic secular state in which Moslems, Christians and Jews would, as it were, live in amity and equality.

The Arab Myth of Secular Democracy

If they believe so much in democracy and secularism why has no democratic secular state risen so far in the Arab world? For 19 years the Jordanians controlled the West Bank and the Egyptians controlled the Gaza Strip. Why was no secular democratic state created there at that time? For 19 years they had it in their power to do everything that they want Israel to do today. Why did they not do it? Were there no Palestinian Arabs between 1948 and 1967 in the West Bank or Gaza? I ask the representatives of countries who talk about a Palestine homeland and independence, for 19 years two Arab states had it in their power to do exactly this. Why did they not do it? Why in the course of 19 years was not even a local central administration set up in the West Bank by the Jordanians? Or in Gaza by the Egyptians? Why in the 19 years before 1967 did not the Palestinian Arabs in the territories administered by Israel today achieve what they have achieved under Israel as far as the control of their domestic affairs is concerned? Why is it that the two major pitched battles carried out by the PLO have been waged against Arab governments and Arab authority, in 1970 in the so-called Black September against the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and this year as a major element in the destruction of the Lebanese state?

Hypocritical Lip Service

The reason is that the PLO despite the hypocritical lip service paid to it by the Arab governments is discredited in the Middle East. President Sadat only a few weeks ago asserted that he no longer knows what the PLO wants and has all but broken with the PLO. Their activities have been severely

curbed in Egypt. They are not even admitted to Jordan let alone allowed to operate from there. Syria maintains the tightest control over them, allowing only its A Saika terrorist organization to operate from Syrian territory and is reputed to be planning a change of leadership in the PLO. The only place in which they are free to operate is in the Lebanon. With what tragic consequences we all know.

They talk of a Palestinian State, but we know that the last thing Jordan, Egypt or Saudi Arabia let alone Israel want is a Soviet oriented and sponsored State in the heart of Middle East.

Lip service is one thing. What the Arab States do and desire is another.

They talk in terms of a democratic and secular state. This facile slogan, this transparent propaganda gimmick has somehow found support among naive and well-meaning people. But for what it means let us turn again to Yassir Arafat in the London Economist this year:

"We have in the Lebanese experience a significant example that is close to the multi-religious state we are trying to achieve."

What a PLO State in Israel Would Mean

Look at Lebanon today, look at the more than 800,000 Jews that left or have been driven out of the Arab countries of the Middle East and North Africa since 1948. Look at the tortured existence of the 4,000 odd Jewish hostages left in Syria today if you want to know what the fate of the Jews of Israel would be if the PLO nightmare were realized. The PLO knows what it means when it talks about the democratic and secular state of Palestine. So do we.

Let me again quote Yassir Arafat opening a symposium on Palestine in Tripoli, Libya in May last year:

"The revolution is struggling to establish a democratic state in which we all will live in peace . . . there would be no presence in the region except for the Arab presence since this is the historic truth which no one no matter how powerful can change."

The PLO is governed by the Palestinian Covenant of 1964, as amended in 1968. It calls in effect in Article 19 for the destruction of the State of Israel by declaring that "the establishment of Israel is fundamentally null and void." In Article 20 it makes the preposterous assertion that "the claim of historical or spiritual ties between the Jews and Palestine does not tally with historical realities."

In other words they reject 4,000 years of one of the most ancient histories in the world. They reject any link between Judaism and the Holy

Land. The Bible is as if it never were, and impliedly too Christianity was born in never-never land to a people that did not exist, nurtured on a religion which existed only in mythology. What arrant nonsense this is. Every first grade child will instinctively associate the Jewish people with Jerusalem, the Holy Land and Zion. And yet there are countries that expect to agree to sit down with an organization whose basic creed and main tenet of faith is the destruction of our state and people, and which declares emphatically in Article 21 of the self-same covenant that they

"reject all plans that aim at the settlement of the Palestine issue."

Palestinian-Israeli Settlement

Is there any self respecting country which would agree to sit with a body whose sole declared purpose is to destroy it and whose aim is to draw concessions so that its destruction will be so much easier? Do you for a moment think we will do it? Can you envisage for a moment any Israeli talking to a group which subscribes to such a covenant?

Can you envisage the United States Government agreeing to negotiate the destruction of American society with the Symbionese National Army? Can we really be expected to negotiate with a delegation at whose head stands a man who a few weeks ago declared at a press conference in the United Nations that he regards Tel-Aviv as occupied territory which has to be liberated? Do you really believe that this is the correct partner for negotiation with Israel?

The Government of Israel's policy on the Palestinian Arabs has been clearly enunciated by my Foreign Minister, Mr. Yigal Allon, in the 30th General Assembly.

The major part of historic Palestine is the present day Kingdom of Jordan. The bulk of the Palestinian Arabs, 80% of them, live in Jordan, Israel, the West Bank and Gaza. Approximately 1.7 million of them, including those living in the West Bank, are Jordanian citizens and hold Jordanian passports. Some 500,000 are Israeli citizens. A sizeable proportion of the members of Parliament in Jordan the leaders of the country in all aspects of public, military and commercial life, are Palestinians. It must be obvious, that the problem can and should be solved in the context of a peace agreement between Israel and Jordan.

The Conditions of Life Among Arabs in Israel

If Israel were indeed such a hell on earth for the Arabs as Arab propa-

(Continued on next Page)

AMBASSADOR HERZOG'S ADDRESS*(Continued)*

ganda would have us believe, why should freely elected Arabs be serving in our Parliament? Why should Arab ministers be serving in our Government? Why should an Arab be serving in my delegation? Why should it be as natural for an Arab to serve in public office in Israel, as it is incongruous to think of a Jew serving in any public office in an Arab country? Why should the first Arab woman mayor in the whole Middle East have been elected in Israel? Why should Arab officers and men be serving of their own volition in the Israel Defence Forces, border forces and police forces, in many cases in command of Jewish troops?

Why should Arabic be an official language of the country on a par with Hebrew? Why should hundreds of thousands of Arab tourists be crossing freely into Israel every year? (157,000 last year). Why should thousands of Arab patients from all over the Middle East be clamouring to enter Jewish hospitals in Israel?

Why should 50% of the child patients in the Hadassah Hospital in Jerusalem be Arab children who have come from all over the Middle East? Why should the three great faiths be living amicably side by side in the Holy City of Jerusalem under the most liberal and imaginative leadership which that city has known in thousands of years?

Why should 75,000 Arab workers be crossing daily into Israel to earn four times as much as they were earning before and to work for the first time in their lives protected by one of the most advanced trade union organizations in the world?

We live in close daily contact on a basis of mutual human respect and dignity with over a million Palestinian Arabs. We meet with them, we discuss with them, we debate with them, we have joint television programs with them, we know what they feel and we know what they think. Not for a moment am I trying to suggest that they do not seek a Palestine Arab solution of their own, but I am suggesting, from daily intimate contact and knowledge, that the PLO does not represent them or their thinking.

The "Stateless" Palestinians Prosper

In the meantime pending an overall political solution, and I emphasize this problem cannot be isolated from the overall Middle East problem, we are proud of our humane approach, we are proud of the fact that despite the pressures and the provocations over the years in which the most heinous crimes have been committed by ter-

rorists we have never carried out the death penalty. We are proud of the fact that there has been a real growth in the G.N.P. in both territories of an average of 18% per annum, that income per capita has increased in the West Bank by eighty per cent and in Gaza by 120% in eight years, that the unemployment rate has dropped from some 10% in the West Bank and almost 30% in the Gaza Strip in 1967 to zero by June of last year, that agricultural machinery in the territories has increased ten fold in eight years, that there has been a 46% increase in the number of educational institutions and classrooms in a system which provides free education in the West Bank and Gaza. We are proud of the fact that 4,100,000 people crossed the open bridges over the River Jordan in both directions between 1968 and 1975, that of a total of 16,000 administrative officials in the territories only 500 are Israelis, that all the mayors and municipal councils have been elected by free and secret ballot, that three newspapers in East Jerusalem are edited, written and published by Arab editors and journalists with absolute freedom to express any political opinion, including extreme views, opposing the State of Israel, that there is complete freedom of movement in Israel to and from the West Bank and the Gaza Strip and for visitors from the East Bank (including visitors from all Arab countries).

Grass Roots Co-operation

We are proud of the absolute freedom of religion granted to all beliefs, in which all holy places are autonomously administered by the respective religious authorities. We are proud of all this, because we know that it has already created a bridge to the Arab world, has created a daily dialogue between us and a major element of the Palestinian Arabs, has brought about a greater degree of mutual understanding than has ever been achieved before, and has developed daily grass root Arab-Jewish cooperation in all fields of human endeavor, medicine, agriculture, commerce, politics, science and higher education.

We are proud that we have created the foundations from which to advance further towards the solution of the Palestine Arab problem on a basis of growing understanding.

This we shall achieve if the process of negotiation and dialogue in the Middle East is encouraged and not obstructed by the U.N. We shall not achieve it if the vicious invective which permeates the U.N. is allowed to be the prevalent idiom in the Middle East today.

Sinister Plan

We now see in the Security Council the development of a sinister plan evolved by Syria and the PLO with the support of the Soviet Union. The Foreign Minister of Syria declared openly that their purpose was to destroy the existing mechanism for peace which has been achieved in the Middle East by transferring the entire discussion to the Security Council and to annul the existing Agreement achieved between Israel and Egypt in the Sinai. In furtherance of this purpose, all the one-sided and baseless resolutions submitted by Arab and hostile delegations to the thirtieth General Assembly specifically eliminated any of the principles enumerated in the Security Council Resolution 242 and 338 and above all, eliminated any mention of the word negotiation or the process of negotiation. It is unbelievable but here, before our very eyes, in flagrant violation of the Charter of the United Nations, the General Assembly consciously avoids mention of the word negotiation or the process of negotiation on an issue which can only be resolved by negotiation.

The next step in this insidious plan was to transfer the whole subject to the Security Council with a view to destroying the mechanism of negotiation and to imposing a solution without the consent of one of the parties to the conflict. To this Israel will never agree. We will not agree to it because it is against our national interests. We will not agree because it is against the principles on which the United Nations Charter was established and which have been betrayed and trampled underfoot by the General Assembly of the United Nations.

Implications for the U.N.

If you add to this, the fact that the PLO, an organization which is not a state and which does not purport to be a state, is invited to participate in the discussions of the Security Council with equal rights to that of a state in flagrant violation of the Charter of the United Nations which allows only for persons or for States to be invited but not for organizations, then you have unfolding before your eyes the whole process of the creation of the Theatre of the Absurd coming to fruition and the tragic sight of the United Nations engaged in its self-destruction.

The admission of the PLO as an equal with full rights to the Security Council has created a precedent which can destroy the basis of that Council. From now on, every dissatisfied terrorist movement in the world which wishes to air its grievances has full rights established by precedent to ap-

(Continued on next Page)

AMBASSADOR HERZOG'S ADDRESS

(Continued)

appear as an organization before the Security Council. And when these organizations begin to appear, as appear they will, the members of the Security Council will have only themselves to blame.

It is, therefore, because of our unwillingness to be a party to the process of destroying the mechanism for peace in the Middle East by transferring the issue from the Geneva Peace Conference to the Security Council in pursuance of a avowed Syrian-PLO purpose to annul all moves towards peace and to use the United Nations as a preparatory arena for a future war that we will not participate in the impending Security Council session. This, in addition to the Government of Israel's declared position on the inclusion of the PLO, in violation of the Charter of the United Nations in the deliberations of the Council.

The 1967 Borders Are Not the Real Issue

In the process of solving international problems by means of producing facile slogans, (what is happening in the Middle East is a classic example), the magic formula of the 1967 borders is produced. Everybody pulls this solution out of the hat. If only we will pull back to the 1967 borders — all will be solved. For 19 years we sat along the 1967 borders until the Arabs attacked in 1967. Did they make peace? Did they negotiate for peace? This is not the problem. If it is the problem, why was it not solved long ago. Why should there have been an Arab attack in 1967?

The answer, my friends, is that this is not the problem.

The issue is not a question of territory, it is not a question of Palestinians, although these are both important. It is a question of change of heart in respect of Israel on the part of the Arab countries. Until that change of heart is achieved, no meaningful advance can really be attained.

I repeat. At the heart of the conflict lies the Arab refusal to recognize the right of the Jewish nation to self determination and national sovereignty. I cannot express this problem in a more succinct and incisive manner than in the words of a former President of the General Assembly, Dr. Charles Malik of the Lebanon who said in an interview last year in the Saturday Review that in his opinion:

"The main essential for peace— indeed the quintessential — is the need for the Arab world to accept Israel's existence. He (Malik) felt that this is the ultimate issue. Unless and until the Arab peoples have a genuine

'change of heart' the Middle East will vibrate from one crisis to the next. He (Malik) repeated 'change of heart' in order to emphasize his belief that what is required is not just a temporary accommodation or an expedient political maneuver but a genuine acceptance of Israel as a State."

We live in a region in which the Arab nation has realized its sovereignty in twenty states comprising a hundred million people in 4-1/2 million square miles, with vast resources. The issue therefore is not whether the world will come to terms with Arab nationalism. The question is at what point Arab nationalism, with its prodigious glut of advantage, wealth and opportunity, will come to terms with the modest but equal rights of another Middle Eastern nation to pursue its life in security and peace.

Thus, in conclusion, let me re-emphasize once again, if the Arabs want peace they can have it. We are ready here and now to negotiate peace — but the operative word is negotiate. We are not ready to be dictated to and we will not accept a dictate.

We will negotiate only on the basis of a recognition of Israel's sovereign rights. We will not negotiate our own suicide. If the Arabs are serious about this let them begin to behave like mature nations. I reiterate once again, from this rostrum, Israel's willingness to join at any moment negotiations designed to lead to peace, fully aware of the fact that by their very nature

negotiations must be based on mutual respect and compromise.

The Source of Our Rights

But above all, let the world realize that at the heart and root of the problem lies the question of acceptance of the Jewish people's sovereignty and the right to its own homeland. We seek no legitimization of this right. We seek no confirmation of this right. We make no apologies for our Statehood and we owe no explanation for the exercise of our rights. These we exercise by right of our Bible, of our history, of our tradition and of our unbroken link of 4,000 years with our country. The recognition by our neighbors of these rights and of our place as an integral element in the Middle East lies at the heart of the problem, and only when this fact is acknowledged by the world community will the Middle East become once again a center in which the great cultures of Judaism and Islam will combine, as they have done in the past, to contribute to the elevation of mankind for the benefit of humanity in general and in particular, of the Middle East.

NEW BOUTIQUE

French & Israeli imports

Shomer Shabbat

TROCADERO

1200 Lexington Avenue
(between 81st & 82nd Streets)

Open Sundays

FOR BOYS AND GIRLS — AGES 6-17



מחנות, מסד" בארצות הברית

REGISTER FOR

MASSAD HEBREW CAMPS

PIONEERS IN HEBREW
CAMPING FOR OVER A
QUARTER
CENTURY



- In the picturesque Pocono Mountains
- Jewish tradition fostered through activity and play
- All land and water sports
- Daily and Sabbath Services
- Dietary laws strictly observed



3 AGE LEVELS

- | | |
|--------------------|---------------|
| 1. Campers (6-15) | Moderate Fees |
| 2. Toranim (15-16) | \$650.00 |
| 3. Machon (16-17) | \$550.00 |

For additional illustrative material write or phone:

MASSAD CAMPS, INC.

426 W. 58th St., N.Y., N.Y. 10019

Tel.: (212) 265-7240

Fill out the coupon below and mail it to the Massad office.

I am interested in sending my child to Massad and would appreciate your communicating with me further.

Name: _____ Phone: _____

Address: _____

SCHEDULE OF SERVICES

FRIDAY, January 23

Lighting of Candles4:45
Evening5:00

SATURDAY,

Morning9:00
Junior Congregation10:15
Pre-School Service11:00

Weekly Portion: YITRO EXODUS 18

Haftorah: ISAIAH 6

Evening5:00
Conclusion of Sabbath5:42

Friday, January 30

Lighting of Candles4:52
Evening5:00

SATURDAY

Morning9:00
Junior Congregation10:15
Pre-School Service11:00

Weekly Portion: MISHPATIM

Haftorah: I SAMUEL 20

ROSH CHODESH PRAYERS

Rosh Chodesh ADAR I will be on
Sunday, February 1 and Monday,
February 2.

Evening5:00
Conclusion of Sabbath5:50

WEEKDAY SERVICES

Morning7:30
Sunday Morning.....8:30
Father and Son Minyan9:30
Evening5:00

KEHILATH JESHURUN BULLETIN CONG. KEHILATH JESHURUN

125 East 85th Street
New York, N.Y. 10028
HA 7-1000

Synagogue Officials

Joseph H. Lookstein*Senior Rabbi*
Haskel Lookstein*Rabbi*
Avrum Davis*Cantor*
Israel D. Rosenberg*Ritual Director*
Gary J. Lavit*Rabbinic Administrator*

Officers of the Congregation

Harry W. Baumgarten*Hon. President*
Max J. Etra*Hon. President*
Nathan Salzman*President*
William G. Lebowitz*Vice President*
Samuel M. Eisenstat*Secretary*
Edward Low*Treasurer*
Mrs. Haskel Lookstein*Pres. Sist'd*
Milton Berkowitz*Pres. Men's Club*
Asher S. Levitsky*Pres. Couples Club*

TO OUR READERS

Because of the importance of Ambassador Herzog's address and its interest to all concerned Jews, we will merely list forthcoming activities and briefly announce happy events in our congregational family. The latter events will be reviewed more fully in our next issue.

For Your Calendars

This Shabbat Afternoon, January 24

No Youth Groups
(See you on January 31)

Saturday night, January 31

Couples Club Tennis Night
(Last call for reservations)

January 27 and February 10

JK Volleyball

February 6 and 7

K.J. Singles Oneg Shabbat and
Luncheon
(Call office for details)

Friday, February 6 at 8:30 P.M.

JK's Oneg Shabbat

Sunday, February 8

Junior Congregation Trip

Tuesday, February 10

Lectures by Dr. Hayim Tawil and
Dr. Arthur Hyman

Thursday, February 12

Men's Club Theatre Party

Sunday, February 22

Lecture by Dr. Mayer Herskovics

RIVERSIDE MEMORIAL CHAPEL

CARL GROSSBERG
ANDREW FIER
Directors

76th ST. & AMSTERDAM AVE.
ENDicott 2-6600

We Congratulate . . .

. . . all birthday and anniversary celebrants.

. . . Mr. and Mrs. Steven Gross on Amy's birth and Mr. and Mrs. Alexander Gross on the birth of their granddaughter.

. . . Mr. and Mrs. Benjamin Perlman on their grandson's Bar Mitzvah and their granddaughter's wedding.

. . . Mr. and Mrs. Leon Sergold on Viviane's wedding.

. . . Mr. and Mrs. Benjamin Kaufman on their grandson, Michael, who will celebrate his Bar Mitzvah in our Synagogue on Sunday, February 1.

. . . Cantor and Mrs. Avrum Davis on the engagement of their son, Meir.

. . . Our synagogue bookkeeper, Mrs. Esther Friedman, and her husband, Abraham on the birth of a granddaughter Shira Yehudit.

Mazel Tov to all. We promise to report more fully on the above in two weeks.

LIPSTADT MEMORIAL COMPANY Monuments

370 AMSTERDAM AVENUE
NEW YORK 24, N. Y.
TR 4-6843

Closed Saturdays Open Sundays

Second Class Postage
Paid at New York, N.Y.